



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/088,979	03/26/2002	Reiner Fischer	Mo 7025/LeA 33,923	6710
34469	7590	10/03/2003		
BAYER CROPSCIENCE LP			EXAMINER	
100 BAYER ROAD			POWERS, FIONA	
PITTSBURGH, PA 15205				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	

DATE MAILED: 10/03/2003

6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/088,979	FISCHER ET AL.	
	Examiner Fiona T. Powers	Art Unit 1626	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-12, 14, 15 and 17-23 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) 6-11 is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4, 12, 14 and 15 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) 5 and 17-23 is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). <u>5</u> .
2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____	6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____

Art Unit: 1626

Receipt is acknowledged of the preliminary amendment filed March 26, 2002, which has been entered in the file.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 to 4, 12, 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art disclosed on page 5 of the specification and EP 528156, cited (which corresponds to US 5262383).

Determination of the scope and content of the prior art (MPEP §2141.01)

Page 5 of the specification excludes Compound I-a-79 from EP 528156 from the claimed compounds. EP 528156 is drawn to 3-aryl-4-hydroxy- Δ^3 -dihydrofuranones which are useful as insecticides, acaricides, herbicides and fungicides. Note the abstract. The compound is structurally similar to the claimed compounds where Het is the group (2) wherein G is hydrogen, one or more of X, Y and W is ethyl and the others are methyl, and V and Z are hydrogen.

Ascertainment of the difference between the prior art and the claims (MPEP §2141.02)

Art Unit: 1626

The compound of the prior art differs from that claimed in that it is a homolog of that claimed (i.e. one or more of X, Y and W is methyl instead of ethyl. However, homologs are considered obvious over one another.

Finding of prima facie obviousness---rational and motivation (MPEP §2142-2413)

Due to their close structural similarity one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the claimed compounds with the expectation that compounds with similar properties would be obtained. The claimed compounds, pesticides and/or weed killers would have been rendered obvious by the admitted prior art disclosed on page 5 of the specification in the absence of any unobvious property. The claimed method for controlling at least one of a pest and a weed and the claimed method for preparing at least one of a pesticide and a weed killer would have also been rendered obvious in the absence of any unobvious result.

Claims 5 and 17 to 23 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 6 to 11 are allowed.

Art Unit: 1626

The references made of record and not relied upon show the state of the art. The references cited in the Internal Search Report have been considered.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fiona T. Powers whose telephone number is 703-308-4535. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph K. McKane can be reached on 703-308-4537. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

Fiona T. Powers
Fiona T. Powers
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1626

ftp
September 30, 2003